

## **Validation of Anthropometry Against Lipid Profile in Women of Reproductive age 15–35 years**

**Rosdiana Mus\***, **Mutmainnah Abbas**, **Dylan Tamalsir**, **Vina Latuconsina**, **Jansye Cynthia Penturi**, **Elpira Asmin**

*Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Pattimura*

Access this article online  
Quick Response Code :



DOI : [10.22487/htj.v11i4.1823](https://doi.org/10.22487/htj.v11i4.1823)

**Email Corresponding:**  
Rosdiana.mus@gmail.com

**Page :** 96-103

**Article History:**

Received: 2025-02-14  
Revised: 2025-10-12  
Accepted: 2026-01-31

**Published by:**  
Tadulako University,  
Managed by Faculty of  
Medicine.

**Website :**  
<https://jurnal.fk.untad.ac.id/index.php/htj/index>



This work is licensed under a  
Creative Commons Attribution-  
ShareAlike 4.0 International  
License



### **Abstract**

**Background:** The increasing prevalence of dyslipidemia in early adulthood, especially in women. Dyslipidemia increases the risk of atherosclerosis, stroke and heart disease. Anthropometric measurements such as Body Mass Index, Waist Circumference and Upper Arm Circumference are often used as early screening for dyslipidemia. **Objective:** This study aimed to assess anthropometric measurements have the highest validity for the lipid profile of women of childbearing age in the working area of the Hila Health Center, Central Maluku. **Methods:** This study is a descriptive observational study with a cross-sectional design using a purposive sampling technique. The study was conducted from April to July 2023 on 81 respondents with inclusion criteria of 15-35 years, fasting for 8-12 hours, not suffering from diabetes, heart disease and stroke, no history of alcohol and smoking. Anthropometric measurements of body weight with a digital scale, height with a microtoise, Waist Circumference and Upper Arm Circumference with a metline and all measurements were carried out 2 times. **Result:** anthropometric validation with lipid profiles showed a sensitivity of 33% and a specificity of 96%. **Conclusion:** There were no anthropometric measurements that could be used to predict lipid profile abnormalities but upper arm circumference could be used to assess normal lipids.

**Keywords:** Anthropometry; Lipids; Validity.

## **Introduction**

Dyslipidemia is a major risk factor for the incidence of atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk<sup>1,2</sup>. Dyslipidemia, characterized by a decrease and increase in one or a combination of lipid fractions such as increased total cholesterol, Low Density Lipoprotein-Cholesterol (LDL-C), and triglycerides or decreased High Density Lipoprotein-Cholesterol (HDL-C)<sup>3</sup>. Circulating lipid profile components, especially LDL-C, can be stored in the tunica intima of the artery wall and are involved in the atherogenic process<sup>4</sup>. Increased blood lipid profile levels worsen the occurrence of atherosclerosis,

which is recognized as a major risk factor for stroke, peripheral vessels, and heart disease<sup>5</sup>.

The increasing prevalence of dyslipidemia is detected among adolescents and young adults<sup>6</sup>. A study report states that half of young adults with high cholesterol levels are five times more at risk of developing CVD and nine times more at risk of myocardial infarction after 30 to 40 years compared to those with low cholesterol levels<sup>7</sup>. Several studies report that the incidence of dyslipidemia is higher in women than in men<sup>8,9</sup>. Hyperlipidemia in women is likely caused by the effects of estrogen on body fat distribution, which results in differences in lipoproteins<sup>10</sup>. Women of

Reproductive Age (WRA) have one of the habits of women of childbearing age, which is an irregular eating pattern that can affect body weight<sup>11</sup>. The age range for WRA is between 19 to 45 years with peak fertility at 20-29 years<sup>12,13</sup>.

Several studies mention that dyslipidemia is positively related to age, Body Mass Index (BMI), Waist Circumference (WC), fasting blood glucose, and blood pressure<sup>14,15</sup>. Some traditional anthropometric measurements for assessing obesity such as BMI, WC, and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) and the Lipid Accumulation Product (LAP) index<sup>11,16</sup>. High BMI and WC can be considered as first-stage screening tools to detect individuals with dyslipidemia<sup>17</sup>. Nutritional status assessment with BMI uses two indicators, namely body weight and height, so it is considered the best because it can describe over- and under-nutritional status and is performed with standardized procedures<sup>18</sup>.

Several studies report a relationship between anthropometric parameters and lipid profiles. A study conducted on adult women aged 26-45 years found a significant relationship between BMI and WC with diastolic blood pressure but no relationship between BMI, WC with systolic blood pressure and lipid profiles<sup>12</sup>. Different results in obese WRA aged 19-45 years showed a correlation between BMI and the TG/HDL-C ratio in obese WRA<sup>19</sup>. Another study mentioned that there were differences in lipid profiles and anthropometry in women of reproductive age with and without metabolic syndrome<sup>20</sup>. Research on other adult women aged 26-45 years found no relationship between BMI and total cholesterol levels<sup>21</sup>.

Based on this background, it prompted the researchers to determine the validation of anthropometry against the lipid profile in women of reproductive age. The purpose of this study was to determine the anthropometric

measurement that has the highest validity against the lipid profile of women of reproductive age in Ambon, Maluku.

## **Materials and Methods**

### **Study Design**

This research is a descriptive observational study with a cross-sectional design aimed at evaluating the sensitivity and specificity of various anthropometric parameters against the lipid profile. This study used a purposive sampling technique and was conducted from April to July 2023.

### **Sample**

The number of samples in this study was 81 respondents taken from the working area of the Hila Care Health Center, Central Maluku. Inclusion criteria in this study were women of reproductive age (15-35 years), fasting for 8-12 hours before blood sample collection, having no history of diabetes, heart disease, or stroke, and having no habits of consuming alcohol and smoking. Exclusion criteria were respondents who were unwilling to participate in the study, women who were pregnant or breastfeeding, and samples that showed icteric or lipemic conditions.

### **Data Collection Technique**

Data were collected through anthropometric measurements and blood lipid profile examinations. Anthropometric parameters measured included body weight (kg), height (cm), waist circumference (WC in cm), body mass index (BMI in kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and upper arm circumference (UAC in cm). Body weight was measured using a digital scale, height using a microtoise, while WC and UAC were measured with a metline measuring tape. Each measurement was taken twice to improve accuracy.

Examination of lipid profile levels including total cholesterol, HDL-C, LDL-C,

and triglycerides was performed using the enzymatic method with venous blood samples. BMI categorization criteria were underweight ( $<18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ), normal ( $18.5\text{-}22.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ), overweight ( $23\text{-}24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ), and obese ( $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ). Waist circumference was categorized as normal if  $<80 \text{ cm}$  and high if  $\geq 80 \text{ cm}$ . Normal lipid profile categories were HDL  $>40 \text{ mg/dL}$ , LDL  $<100 \text{ mg/dL}$ , triglycerides  $<150 \text{ mg/dL}$ , and total cholesterol  $<200 \text{ mg/dL}$ .

### Data Analysis Technique

Data were analyzed by calculating the sensitivity (Se) and specificity (Sp) of anthropometric parameters (BMI, WC, and UAC) against the lipid profile, which was considered the gold standard. The analysis was performed by creating a cross-table between anthropometric measurement results and lipid profiles, then calculating sensitivity and specificity values using the formula:

$$\text{Sensitivity} = a/(a+c) \times 100\%$$

$$\text{Specificity} = d/(b+d) \times 100\%$$

**Table 1.** Sensitivity and Specificity Analysis

Gold Standard	Lipid Profile(+)	Lipid Profile(-)	Total
Anthropometry(+)	a	b	a+b
Anthropometry (-)	c	d	c+d
Total	a+c	b+d	Total

Table notes:

- a: Lipid profile (+) and anthropometry (+)  $\rightarrow$  True Positive
- b: Lipid profile (-) and anthropometry (+)  $\rightarrow$  False Positive
- c: Lipid profile (+) and anthropometry (-)  $\rightarrow$  False Negative
- d: Lipid profile (-) and anthropometry (-)  $\rightarrow$  True Negative

The sensitivity assessment criteria were very good if Se  $>90\%$ , good if Se  $>70\%$ , quite good if Se  $>60\%$ , and poor if Se  $<60\%$ . The specificity assessment criteria were very good if Sp  $>90\%$ , good if Sp  $<90\%$ , quite good if Sp  $<70\%$ , and poor if Sp  $<60\%$ .

### Ethical Consideration

This study has received ethical approval from the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Pattimura with number 055/FK-KOM.ETIK/VIII/2023. All participants were given clear information regarding the purpose, benefits, and procedures of the research as well as their right to withdraw at any time without any consequences. Participation in this study was voluntary with written consent from each respondent. The identity and personal data of respondents were kept confidential and used only for research purposes.

### Results

The results of this study involved 81 respondents consisting of WRA aged 15-35 years. The general description of the respondents is shown in Table 2.

**Table 2.** General Characteristics of Respondents

Variable	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Age (years)	22.19	5.8	15	35
<b>Anthropometry</b>				
WC (cm)	72.07	10.1	32	95
UAC (%)	86.54	14.5	52	126
BMI ( $\text{kg/m}^2$ )	21.01	3.6	15.0	29.9
<b>Lipids (mg/dL)</b>				
Cholesterol	218.79	47.95	118	326
Triglycerides	98.9	31.0	46.0	195.0
HDL	47.7	9.5	33.0	87.0
LDL	129.73	32.49	81	

Source: Primary data analysis

Based on Table 2, the mean age of respondents was 22.19 years. Anthropometric measurement results showed an average WC of 72.07 cm, UAC of 86.54%, and BMI of 21.01  $\text{kg/m}^2$ . These results show that the average of each anthropometric measurement is within normal limits. In the lipid profile examination, the average cholesterol and LDL were above normal, at 218.79 mg/dL and 129.73 mg/dL, respectively, while the average triglycerides and HDL were within normal limits with mean values of 98.9 mg/dL and 47.7 mg/dL, respectively.

Based on Table 3, the majority of respondents were aged <25 years. Anthropometric measurements, both WC, UAC, and BMI, were found in the majority of respondents at 77.8%, 92.6%, and 70.4%, respectively. In lipid examinations, the frequency with high cholesterol and LDL levels was found to be higher, at 63% and 82.7%, respectively. Meanwhile, in triglyceride and HDL examinations, more respondents were in the normal category, at 92.6% and 79%, respectively. Anthropometric and lipid examinations were analyzed for sensitivity and specificity

**Table 3.** Distribution and Frequency of Respondents

Characteristic	n	%
<b>Age (Years)</b>		
<25	56	61.9
≥25	25	30.9
<b>WC</b>		
Normal	63	77.8
Obesity	18	22.2
<b>UAC</b>		
Underweight & Normal	75	92.6
Overweight & Obese	6	7.4
<b>BMI</b>		
Underweight & Normal	57	70.4
Overweight & Obese	24	29.6
<b>Total Cholesterol</b>		
Normal	30	37
High	51	63
<b>Triglycerides</b>		
Normal	75	92.6
High	6	7.4
<b>LDL</b>		
Normal	14	17.3
High	67	82.7
<b>HDL</b>		
Low	17	21
High	64	79

Source: Primary data analysis

Based on the formula, the sensitivity of anthropometry to the lipid profile was very low, at 33%. This indicates that anthropometric measurements cannot be used as a predictor of lipid disorders. Meanwhile, the specificity value was 96%.

**Table 4.** Sensitivity and Specificity Analysis

Gold Standard	Lipid Profile(+)	Lipid Profile(-)	n	Se	Sp
<b>Anthropometry</b>	18	1	19	3%	96%
(+)					
<b>Anthropometry</b>	36	26	62		
(-)					
<b>Total</b>	54	27	81		

Note: Se = Sensitivity; Sp = Specificity

Based on Table 5, the sensitivity for anthropometry to the lipid profile was all poor, with the highest sensitivity for LDL at 28.36%. Meanwhile, for specificity, BMI with the lipid profile had specificity below 64-68%, WC at 77-87%, and UAC had specificity for all lipid profiles above 90%. These results indicate that among anthropometric measures, UAC has better specificity for the lipid profile compared to BMI and WC.

## Discussion

This study involved 81 respondents with a mean age of 22.19 years. According to a report by Wibawa<sup>22</sup> this age is a transition period from late adolescence to early adulthood. During this transition period, there are changes in food consumption patterns, such as a doubling increase in the consumption of low-quality foods and a decrease in the consumption of high-quality foods by up to 10%. A study by Izhar<sup>23</sup> shows that poor food consumption patterns can risk an increase in BMI. Based on this research, the average results of anthropometric examinations, both WC, UAC, and BMI, were all within normal limits. Although in frequency there were a number of respondents who had anthropometric examination results in the overweight and obese categories. In the lipid profile examination, the average total cholesterol and LDL were higher than normal values. This is supported by the frequency of subjects with high cholesterol and LDL of 63% and 82.7%, respectively. A study by Maharani<sup>24</sup> states that

increased cholesterol is not necessarily caused by obesity or an increase in nutritional status assessment. Increased cholesterol levels can be caused by various factors, especially cholesterol intake, fiber intake, and physical activity. Conversely, in obese subjects, it is not

necessarily the case that they have high cholesterol levels. This is supported by the results of research by Parameshwari and Iwaningsih<sup>25</sup> which stated that BMI and lipid profile have a weak relationship.

**Tabel 5.** Sensitivitas dan spesifisitas parameter antropometri terhadap profil lipid

Gold Standard	TC		TG		LDL		HDL	
	Se (%)	Sp (%)	Se (%)	Sp (%)	Se (%)	Sp (%)	Se (%)	Sp (%)
<b>BMI</b>	27,45	67	0,00	68,00	28,36	64,28571	11,76471	65,625
<b>WC</b>	27,45	87	16,67	77,33	23,88	85,71429	23,52941	78,125
<b>UAC</b>	7,843	93	0,00	92,00	7,463	92,85714	11,76471	93,75

Source: Primary data analysis

Obesity, characterized by increased body fat mass, is one of the factors that causes an increase in cholesterol levels. This is because excess fat increases triglyceride levels and lowers HDL, which affects total cholesterol levels<sup>26,27</sup>. Various studies related to the relationship between BMI and lipids show a significant relationship. An increase of 1 unit in BMI increases the risk of increased cholesterol by 3.681<sup>28-30</sup>. Another study found a relationship between BMI, visceral fat, and WC with TG, HDL, and TG/HDL ratio levels<sup>31</sup>.

In women, the relationship between hypercholesterolemia and nutritional status is much weaker in older age groups, especially among women. This is also influenced by hormonal factors, namely the estrogen hormone. In the reproductive age, estrogen hormone is higher compared to women entering the menopausal phase. A decrease in estrogen hormones causes atrophy of tissue which affects an increase in abdominal fat and an increase in cholesterol levels<sup>32</sup>. Another study related to lipid profiles was stated by Nasruddin<sup>33</sup> that triglyceride levels in women of reproductive age with a mean age of 28 years have estrogen hormone levels that are still high enough to control triglyceride levels in the blood.

In this study, all anthropometric measurements such as BMI, WC, and UAC had poor sensitivity. Meanwhile, for specificity, UAC had good specificity for the lipid profile. Therefore, BMI, WC, and UAC cannot be used to detect lipid profile abnormalities. However, UAC can be used to predict normal lipids due to its good specificity level for all lipid profiles. Sensitivity aims to correctly detect someone who is at risk for a disease from the entire sick population. Meanwhile, specificity aims to correctly classify someone as free from disease risk from the entire healthy population<sup>34</sup>.

This study differs from research conducted by Octarina which showed that waist circumference had good sensitivity (Se>70%) for LDL, triglycerides, and total cholesterol, while for HDL it was quite good (Se>60%), but its specificity was poor (Sp<60%). Based on BMI, the sensitivity and specificity values were poor for all lipid profiles (Se<60%, Sp<60%). In that study, WHR had very good sensitivity values for all lipid profiles (Se>90%), although it had poor specificity values (Sp<60%)<sup>1</sup>. Another study by Yulisa et al<sup>35</sup> showed that BMI and WHR had good sensitivity but for specificity, BMI was better than WHR for the lipid profile. The best anthropometric indicator in assessing dyslipidemia risk was WHR

compared to BMI, waist circumference, and waist-to-height ratio.

### **Conclusion**

The results of the study showed that the validation of anthropometry with the lipid profile showed a sensitivity of 33% and a specificity of 96%. Based on the anthropometric and lipid categories, the highest sensitivity was obtained for LDL at 28.36%, while UAC had specificity for all lipid profiles above 90%. Therefore, no anthropometric measurement in this study could be used to predict lipid profile abnormalities, but UAC could be used to assess normal lipids.

### **Acknowledgment**

Thank you to the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Pattimura through the Research and Community Service Institution Unit for facilitating and all research subjects who were involved.

### **References**

1. Octarina R, Rahayu LS, Ningtyas LN. Validitas Antropometri Terhadap Profil Lipid Pada Pasien Poli Jantung RSUD Budhi ASih Jakarta Timur. *Argipa*. 2020;5(1):1-10.
2. Purwanti R, Syauqy A. Hubungan Antara Parameter Antropometri dan Profil Lipid Pada Wanita Sehat di Semarang. *J Indones Nutr Assoc*. 2022;45(2):91-100.
3. Saragih AD. Terapi Dislipidemia Untuk Mencegah Risiko Penyakit Jantung Koroner. *Indones J Nurs Heal Sci*. 2020;1(1):15-24.
4. Hedayatnia M, Asadi Z, Zare-Feyzabadi R, et al. Dyslipidemia and cardiovascular disease risk among the MASHAD study population. *Lipids Health Dis*. 2020;19(42):1-11.
5. Gao H, Wang H, Shan G, et al. Prevalence of dyslipidemia and associated risk factors among adult residents of Shenmu City, China. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(5):1-14.
6. Al-Duais MA, Al-Awthan YS. Prevalence of dyslipidemia among students of a Yemeni University. *J Taibah Univ Med Sci*. 2019;14(2):163–171.
7. Wahed WYYA, El-Khashab K, Hassan SK. Prevalence of Dyslipidaemia among Healthy University Students: Fayoum Governorate, Egypt. *Epidemiol Biostat Public Heal*. 2016;13(2):117691–117699.
8. Ni WQ, Liu XL, Zhuo ZP, et al. Serum lipids and associated factors of dyslipidemia in the adult population in Shenzhen. *Lipids Heal Dis*. 2015;14(71):1-11.
9. Bekele S, Yohannes T, Mohammed AE. Dyslipidemia and associated factors among diabetic patients attending Durame General Hospital in Southern Nations, Nationalities, and People's Region. *Diabetes, Metab Syndr Obes Targets Ther*. 2017;10:265–271.
10. Abdissa D, Herpa D. Dyslipidemia and its associated factors among adult diabetes outpatients in West Shewa zone public hospitals, Ethiopia. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord*. 2022;22(39):1-8.
11. Manik RR, Widayastuti N, Nissa C. Lingkar pinggang dan lingkar leher dengan kadar kolesterol total pada wanita usia subur obesitas. *J Gizi Klin Indones*. 2019;15(3):75-82.
12. Elon Y, Ricky DP. Lipid Profile dan Tekanan darah Pada Wanita Dewasa Berdasarkan Pemeriksaan BMI. *J Keperawatan Silampari*. 2020;3(2):576–586.
13. Fairuz RA, Utami RF, Absari NW, Djunet NA. Pengaruh Diet Puasa (Intermittent

Fasting) Terhadap Penurunan Berat Badan, Perubahan Metabolik, Dan Massa Otot. *Heal Tadulako Journal(Jurnal Kesehat Tadulako)*. 2024;10(1):40-47.

14. Bayram F, Kocer D, Gundogan K, et al. Prevalence of dyslipidemia and associated risk factors in Turkish adults. *J Clin Lipidology*. 2014;8:206–216.

15. Opoku S, Gan Y, Fu W, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for dyslipidemia among adults in rural and urban China: findings from the China National Stroke Screening and prevention project (CNSSPP). *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1500):1-15.

16. Ray L, Ravichandran K, Sunil Kumar Nanda. Comparison of Lipid Accumulation Product Index with Body Mass Index and Waist Circumference as a Predictor of Metabolic Syndrome in Indian Population. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord*. 2018;16(5):240–245.

17. Qi L, Ding X, Tang W, Li Q, Mao D, Wang Y. Prevalence and Risk Factors Associated with Dyslipidemia in Chongqing, China. *Int J Environ Res Public Heal*. 2015;12(10):13455-13465.

18. Setiawati D, Nuraeni I, Karimah I, Bachtiar H. A. Hubungan Indeks Massa Tubuh dengan Tekanan Darah Lansia di Puskesmas Kahuripan Kota Tasikmalaya. *Heal Tadulako Journal(Jurnal Kesehat Tadulako)*. 2022;8(2):114-119.

19. Nasruddin NI, Saimin J, Tosepu R. Korelasi indeks massa tubuh terhadap rasio trigliserida dan high density lipoprotein-cholesterol pada wanita usia subur dengan obesitas. *J Gizi Klin Indones*. 2022;18(3):126–135.

20. Amiri P, Deihim T, Nakhoda K, Hasheminia M, Montazeri A, Azizi F. Metabolic Syndrome and Health-related Quality of Life in Reproductive Age and Post-menopausal Women: Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. *Arch Iran Med*. 2014;17(6):423–428.

21. Sinurat RG, Elon Y. Hubungan BMI dengan Kadar Kolesterol Total Darah Pada Wanita Dewasa. *Nutr J*. 2019;3(2):21–30.

22. Wibawa INBAP, Rini S, Sabariah, Setyowati RR. Hubungan Indeks Massa Tubuh (IMT) ingkar Lengan Atas (LiLA) dan Lingkar Pinggang dengan Kadar Kolesterol Total Pada mahasiswa Kedokteran Universitas Islam Al Azhar. *Musyawarah Nas Asos Fak Kedokt Swasta Indones*. Published online 2022:167-184.

23. Izhar MD. Determinan Kejadian Overweight pada Wanita Usia Subur di Kota Jambi. *J Ilm Univ Batanghari Jambi*. 2020;20(2):410-417.

24. Maharani A, Marjan AQ, Puspareni LD. The Relation of Fiber Intake, Cholesterol, and Physical Activity with Blood Cholesterol Level of Women Adult in Bogor Aerobic Studio. *Nutr J Gizi, Pangan Dan Apl*. 2018;2(2):1-10.

25. Parameshwari M, Iwaningsih S. Hubungan pola makan, aktivitas fisik, dan status gizi dengan profil lipid pada pasien wanita menopause yang menderita penyakit jantung koroner di Poliklinik Jantung RSPAD Gatot Soebroto Ditkesad. *ARGIPA (Arsip Gizi dan Pangan)*. 2016;1(2):97-109.

26. Fitrianingrum RE, Karmilasari FD. Hubungan Kelebihan Berat Badan Karyawan Dengan Peningkatan Kadar Kolesterol Di Balai Kesehatan Kebun Jatirono Kecamatan Kalibaru. *Vitam J Ilmu Kesehat Umum*. 2024;2(3):53-61.

27. Hanum AM. Faktor-faktor Penyebab Terjadinya Obesitas Pada Remaja. *Heal*

*Tadulako Journal(Jurnal Kesehatan Tadulako). 2023;9(2):137-147.*

28. Yugeswara PA, Setyowati ER, Ruqayyah S, Wiatma DS. Pengaruh Indeks Massa Tubuh (IMT) dan Kadar Kolesterol dengan Hipertensi di Puskesmas Gerung Kabupaten Lombok Barat Nusa Tenggara Barat. *J Ners.* 2023;7(1):744–752.

29. Marccela A, Rasfayanah Zulfiyah Surdam, Nesyana Nurmadiyah RFS. Hubungan Indeks Massa Tubuh dengan Kadar Kolesterol Total pada Mahasiswa Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Muslim Indonesia. *Fakumi Medical Journal Jurnal Mhs Kedokt.* 2023;3(12):958-965.

30. Badriyah L. Hubungan imt dan aktifitas fisik dengan kadar kolesterol. *J Gizi dan Kesehat Mns.* 2021;1(1):25-30.

31. Purwanti R, Syauqy A. Hubungan Antara Parameterantropometri Danprofil Lipid Pada Wanitasehatdi Semarang. *J Indones Nutr Assoc.* 2022;45(2):91-100.

32. Arief RQ, Andiarna F, Widayanti LP, et al. Pengaruh Indeks Massa Tubuh, Asupan Lemak dan Protein Terhadap Kadar kolesterol Remaja. In: *Tin Persagi.* ; 2022:271-278.

33. Nasruddin NI, Saimin J, Tosepu R. Korelasi indeks massa tubuh terhadap rasio trigliserida dan high density lipoprotein-cholesterol pada wanita usia subur dengan obesitas. *J Gizi Klin Indones.* 2022;18(3):126-135.

34. Siswosudarmo R. *Tes Diagnostik (Diagnostic Test).* Yogyakarta : Departemen Obstetrika dan Ginekologi FK UGM Yogyakarta; 2017.

35. Yuliza NEI, Sri I, Sri HL. Studi validitas indeks massa tubuh dan rasio lingkar pinggangpanggul terhadap profil lipid pada pasien rawat jalan di Poli Jantung RSUD Dr. M. Yunus Bengkulu. *Nutr Diaita.* 2015;7(2):73-80.

**Conflict of Interest Statement**

The author(s) declare no commercial, financial, or personal conflicts of interest related to this research. All authors approved the final manuscript and consented to its publication in *Healthy Tadulako Journal*.

**Copyright and Licensing**

© Healthy Tadulako Journal. This open-access article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-SA 4.0), allowing use, distribution, and reproduction with proper attribution.



**Publisher's Note**

Healthy Tadulako Journal, a peer-reviewed open access journal published by the Quality Assurance Unit, Faculty of Medicine, Tadulako University, Indonesia.